FORM PTO-1083/Modified

10101 110 1005/110011	ied ,			0. 50032000000000
In re approprion of Serial Filed: For: OCT 0 3 2005	10/611,625 06/30/03	Manufacturin		ction Sensor With
COMMISSIONER FOR PATALEXANDER P	TENTS 3-1450			
SIR:				
Transmitted herewith	n for the above-ide	entified appl	ication are:	
Petition and Fee	Power of Attorney e for Extension of ower of Attorney w Address	for Patent A Time (37 CFR	pplication 1.136(a))	
The fee has been cal	lculated as shown b	below:		
CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		RESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL 6 MIN	US 22 =	0	x 50 =	\$.00
INDEP. 1 MIN	US 1 =	0	x 200 =	\$.00
FIRST PRESENTAT	ION OF MULTIPLE DE	P. CLAIM	+ 360 =	\$
			Surcharge	\$
			TOTAL	\$.00
	y Deposit Account by of this sheet is		in the amou	nt of \$ <u>.00</u> .
X The Commissione associated with Account 50-258	this communication	n or credit a		to Deposit
	fees under 37 CFR application proce			
CERTIFICAT	E OF MAILING		(T)	
I hereby certify the being deposited with Service as first cla addressed to the Con Alexandria, VA 2231 September 30, 200	h the United State ass mail in an env mmissioner for Pat 3-1450 on	s Postal Ma elope Re ents, At Te	tthew S. Zises gistration No. torney for App lephone (408) tachi Global S	47,246 licant

Shaina Yates

Telephone (408) 717-6759 Hitachi Global Storage Technologies Intellectual Property Law Dept. NHGB/0142 5600 Cottle Road San Jose, CA 95193

Person Mailing paper/fee



Docket: <u>SJO92000096US2</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INVENTORS:

Wipul Jayasekara

SERIAL NO.:

10/611,625

GROUP ART UNIT: 3729

FILED:

06/30/03

EXAMINER: Paul D. Kim

FOR:

A Method For Manufacturing A Tunnel Junction Sensor

With Non-Shunting Stabilization

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NOT FULLY RESPONSIVE AMENDMENT

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Not Fully Responsive Amendment dated August 31, 2005, the Applicant hereby elects, without traverse, Species B (claims 29 and 32) which also necessitates the election of claims 25, 26, 27 and 30 as claims 29 and 32 depend on those claims. Applicant repectfully would like to point out that he believes that Claims 29 and 32 correspond to Figures 4A and 4B instead of 3B, as 3B does not have a logitudinal biasing layer comprising an AFM.